

Torrance, California.
September 30, 1935.

MINUTES OF AN ADJOURNED
REGULAR MEETING OF THE
CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF TORRANCE

The City Council of the City of Torrance convened in an adjourned regular meeting in the City Hall, Torrance, California on Monday, September 30, 1935.

Mayor Ludlow called the meeting to order at 7:30 P.M.

Clerk Bartlett called the roll, those Councilmen answering present being: COUNCILMEN: Hitchcock, Klusman, Stanger, Tolson and Ludlow. ABSENT: None.

Councilman Hitchcock moved that the minutes of the regular meeting of September 10th, the special meetings of September 17th and September 20th, and the regular meeting of September 24th be approved. Councilman Stanger seconded the motion, which was carried.

At this time the Council proceeded to canvass the returns of the election held September 27, 1935. Clerk Bartlett read a Statement of the result of the bond election as follows:

STATEMENT OF CLERK

I, A. H. Bartlett, Clerk of the City of Torrance, California, do hereby certify and declare that a special election was duly and regularly held in said city on September 27, 1935, to vote upon the propositions hereinafter stated, and pursuant to ordinance No. 269 of said city, and that the propositions set forth in said ordinance and stated upon the ballot used at said election and voted upon are as follows:

PROPOSITION No. 1: Shall the City of Torrance incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal sum of \$35,000.00 for the acquisition and construction by the City of Torrance of a certain municipal improvement, to-wit, the acquisition of the necessary land whereon to construct and the construction thereon of a public assembly hall, including the necessary equipment therefor (the estimated cost of such municipal improvement is \$57,000.00, and 45% of the actual cost of the public assembly hall building and the land necessary therefor is to be paid by the United States of America)?

PROPOSITION No. 2: Shall the City of Torrance incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal sum of \$20,000.00 for the acquisition and construction by said city of a certain municipal improvement, to-wit, a public library building, including all necessary lands and equipment therefor (the estimated cost of said improvement is the sum of \$32,500.00, and 45% of the actual cost of the public library building and the necessary lands whereon to construct the same is to be paid by the United States of America)?

PROPOSITION NO. 3: Shall the City of Torrance incur a bonded indebtedness in the principal sum of \$30,000.00 for the acquisition and construction by said city of a certain municipal improvement, to-wit, a public building for a city hall and jail, including all necessary equipment therefor (the estimated cost of said improvement is the sum of \$48,000.00, and 45% of the actual cost of the city hall and jail building is to be paid by the United States of America)?

and that the returns of said election have been duly and regularly made and have been duly and regularly canvassed by the City Council of the City of Torrance at a meeting of said council held on September 30, 1935 at 7:30 P.M. at the usual meeting place of said council at the City Hall in said City of Torrance, and that from said returns it appears and said City Council has found that the whole number of votes cast at said election was 1097.

That at said election the total number of votes cast in Consolidated Voting Precinct A was 45; that the total number of votes cast in said precinct for said proposition No. 1 was 23; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 1 was 22. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for said proposition No. 2 was 24; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 2 was 21. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for said proposition No. 3 was 19; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 3 was 25.

That at said election the total number of votes cast in Consolidated Voting Precinct B was 329; that the total number of votes cast in said precinct for said proposition No. 1 was 247; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 1 was 73. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 2 was 262; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 2 was 63. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 3 was 257; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 3 was 68.

That at said election the total number of votes cast in Consolidated Voting Precinct C was 311; that the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 1 was 282; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 1 was 28. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 2 was 285; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 2 was 25. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 3 was 281; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 3 was 29.

That at said election the total number of votes cast in Consolidated Voting Precinct D was 292; that the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 1 was 110; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 1 was 80. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 2 was 117; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 2 was 74. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 3 was 106; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 3 was 85.

That at said election the total number of votes cast in Consolidated Voting Precinct E was 50; that the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 1 was 30; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 1 was 20. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 2 was 30; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 2 was 20. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 3 was 31; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 3 was 19.

That at said election the total number of votes cast in Consolidated Voting Precinct F was 70; that the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 1 was 52; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 1 was 18. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 2 was 51; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 2 was 19. That the total number of votes cast in said precinct for proposition No. 3 was 53; and that the total number of votes cast in said precinct against said proposition No. 3 was 17.

That the total number of votes cast in said City of Torrance at said election was 1097. That the total number of votes cast in said City of Torrance for said proposition No. 1 was 744; that the total number of votes cast in said City of Torrance against said proposition No. 1 was 241; that the total number of votes cast in said City of Torrance for said proposition No. 2 was 769; that the total number of votes cast in said City of Torrance against said proposition No. 2 was 222; that the total number of votes cast in said City of Torrance for said proposition No. 3 was 747; that the total number of votes cast in said City of Torrance against said proposition No. 3 was 243; that the votes of more than two-thirds of all the voters voting on each of said propositions were cast in favor of the adoption thereof and that each of such propositions carried.

I do further certify that the foregoing statement of result is a full, true and correct statement of the facts of said canvass and of the result of said election, and that as soon as the result was declared said statement of result was duly entered on the records of said City Council by me, the Clerk of said Council.

ENTERED: September 30, 1935.

(Signed)

A. H. BARTLETT
City Clerk of the City of Torrance, California.

(SEAL)

Attorney Rippy presented and read in full:

RESOLUTION NO. 747

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA
STATING THE RESULT OF THE CANVASS OF THE ELECTION
RETURNS OF THE SPECIAL BOND ELECTION
HELD SEPTEMBER 27, 1935.

Councilman Hitchcock moved the adoption of Resolution No. 747. Councilman Tolson seconded the motion; the record of vote is as follows: AYES: COUNCILMEN: Hitchcock, Klusman, Stanger, Tolson and Ludlow. NOES: COUNCILMEN: None. ABSENT: None.

In response to Mayor Ludlow's question as to what the next step would be in this matter, the City Clerk reported that it is now in the hands of Mr. Beebe, of the firm of O'Melveny, Tuller & Myers, attorneys.

City Engineer Leonard stated that he had talked with Mr. Beebe this date and that Mr. Beebe had suggested that it would probably be advisable for him to send an air mail letter or telegram to Mr. Foley, stating the results of the election. He had also stated that no small city projects had been approved during the last few days in Washington.

Councilman Stanger moved that the City Clerk be instructed to invite the following Industrial Plants and Organizations to select one of their respective groups to act as a representative of a Committee to recommend to the City Council the general locations of the buildings to be erected. Furthermore that all real estate men

and persons interested in selling property to the City be excluded from said Committee. When the Committee from the industries and organizations has been formed, they will select five business men and five persons from the general public to act on said Committee and after reaching a decision as to the general location they will make their report in writing to the City Council.

Ladies of Moose	1
Moose Lodge	1
Columbia Steel Corp. and employees	1
National Supply Co. and employees	1
Soule Steel Co. and employees	1
International Derrick Co. and employees	1
D & M Machine Shop and employees	1
Rubber Craft Organization and employees	1
Salm Manufacturing Co. and employees	1
C.C.M.O. Co. and employees	1
Pacific Electric Railway Co. and employees	1
General Petroleum Corp. and employees	1
Knights of Columbus	1
Catholic Altar Society	1
Artisans	1
Business & Professional Women	1
Woman's Club	1
Rotary Club	1
Kiwanis Club	1
A.O.U.W.	1
Torrance P.T.A.	1
Fern Ave. P.T.A.	1
WALTERIA P.T.A.	1
Masons	1
Eastern Star	1
American Legion	1
American Legion Auxiliary	1
Chamber of Commerce	1
Royal Neighbors	1
Ministerial Association	1
Woman's Benefit Assn.	1
Business Men	5
General Public	5
	<u>41</u>

Furthermore, this Committee must not appoint a City Employee or representative to act on said Committee.

Councilman Hitchcock seconded the motion, which was carried.

COMMUNICATIONS

From the Torrance Chamber of Commerce, addressed to the City Council, requesting that the City Council authorize the consulting engineer in charge of the Torrance municipal water development to use steel duraline pipe instead of cast iron pipe. Councilman Klusman moved that the City Clerk advise the Chamber of Commerce that after a thorough investigation of the two kinds of pipe, and upon advice of the consulting engineer, the City Council had decided upon the use of cast iron pipe, except in a few places where it seemed advisable to use steel pipe. Councilman Stanger seconded the motion, which was carried.

Another communication from the Torrance Chamber of Commerce called attention to the directional highway sign at Arlington and Marcelina Avenues, stating that it does not direct properly. Councilman Stanger was instructed to take care of this matter.

A communication from the County of Los Angeles Department of County Public Library, signed by Miss Helen Vogelsson, and addressed to Mayor Ludow, stating that two full time librarians had been appointed to serve in Torrance, and also requesting that the City Council communicate further with the County in regard to the contract in the sum of \$7600.00.

Councilman Hitchcock moved that the Clerk be authorized to comply with the request of the County Public Library Department and write a letter of instruction authorizing the contract. Councilman Stanger seconded the motion, which was carried.

A letter from the League of California Municipalities referring to the gasoline tax program getting under way and suggesting that an ordinance be adopted setting up a special fund. In this connection, attention was also called to a communication addressed to the City Engineer from the Department of Public Works Division of Highways.

Councilman Hitchcock moved that the City Engineer be authorized to submit to the Department of Public Works an approved street map of the City, showing the names of the streets and indicating a system of streets which the City recommends to be approved as streets of major importance. Councilman Stanger seconded the motion, which was carried.

Engineer Leonard was then requested to submit to the City Council a map to determine which streets are considered of major importance.

A communication from the Baskerville Audit Co., dated September 27th, in reply to a letter sent by Attorney Rippy on September 4th, concerning information regarding the schools, which would be needed if the City should adopt a charter, and stating that such information would be procured for the sum of \$417.50.

A communication from C.J. Rambo, addressed to the City Council, concerning getting information as to revenues and expenditures of the Los Angeles Board of Education as affects the schools in the City of Torrance, and any other needed information. The charge would be \$25.00 per day for an auditor and \$15.00 per day for an auditor's assistant, the total cost not to exceed \$325.00.

Councilman Stanger moved that \$75.00 be appropriated in addition to the \$250.00 appropriation already made for securing information in connection with the schools (if this additional amount is necessary) and that the work be given to Mr. Rambo.

Councilman Hitchcock seconded the motion; the record of vote is as follows: AYES: COUNCILMEN: Hitchcock, Klusman, Stanger, Tolson and Ludlow. NOES: COUNCILMEN: None. ABSENT: None.

A communication from the Works Progress Administration, addressed to the City Engineer, concerning the Bixby Slough project. Engineer Leonard stated that the proposal had been properly signed and sent in this date to the P.W.A. office.

A communication from Taylor and Taylor, consulting engineers, requesting the adoption of the prevailing wage scale for all workmen employed on public works.

Attorney Rippy presented and read in full:

RESOLUTION NO. 748

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE ADOPTING PREVAILING WAGE SCALE ON PUBLIC WORKS

Councilman Stanger moved that Resolution No. 748 be adopted. Councilman Klusman seconded the motion; the record of vote is as follows: AYES: COUNCILMEN: Hitchcock, Klusman, Stanger, Tolson and Ludlow. NOES: COUNCILMEN: None. ABSENT: None.

Councilman Stanger requested an appropriation of \$180.00 for lights, sirens, etc. on the car recently purchased for the Police Department. Councilman Hitchcock moved that such an appropriation be made. Councilman Tolson seconded the motion; the record of vote is as follows: AYES: COUNCILMEN: Hitchcock, Klusman, Stanger, Tolson and Ludlow. NOES: COUNCILMEN: None. ABSENT: None.

Engineer Leonard stated that a man had asked permission to move a galvanized iron building, 16' x 36', onto the Dennis lot on Carson Street, to be used as a warehouse by the Torrance Feed Co., Mr. Leonard was asked to inform the man that the City Council had taken his request under advisement and wished to investigate further before making a decision.

In regard to the water project, Engineer Leonard reported that the office of Taylor and Taylor had informed him that the application had been forwarded to the P.W.A. office.

Councilman Hitchcock moved that warrants be drawn for all bills properly audited. Councilman Stanger seconded the motion; the record of vote is as follows: AYES: COUNCILMEN: Hitchcock, Klusman, Stanger, Tolson and Ludlow.

Upon motion of Councilman Hitchcock, seconded by Councilman Stanger, the meeting adjourned at 9:25 P.M.

A. H. Bantel
City Clerk of the City of Torrance.

Approved:

A. R. Ludlow
Mayor of the City of Torrance.